

Appl. No. 10/626,799  
Amdt. dated XX \_\_\_\_\_, 2006  
Reply to Office action of 10/05/2006

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 11 and 13 have been resubmitted. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended. No new Claims have been added.

The applicant amended independent claims 1 and 9 to recite the limitation of the internal drive means, as supported in the figures which shows no external drive means, as is disclosed in the Garland (US 2,571,858) patent at reference numerals 50 and 60. In other words, Garland does not teach or disclose an extendable and retractable assembly for internally extending and retracting said extendable and retractable assembly; as is recited in amended Claims 1 and 9.

### **CONCLUSION**

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the Office Action is requested.

In the event the examiner wishes to discuss any aspect of this response, please contact the attorney at the telephone number identified below.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Robert J. Sayfie, Reg. No. 37,714

Robert J. Sayfie, Reg. No. 37,714  
Robert J. Sayfie, P.C.  
161 Ottawa Ave., N.W.  
Suite 407  
Grand Rapids, MI 49503  
  
Ph: 616-774-9244

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on 12/27/06

Appl. No. 10/626,799

Amdt.

Reply to Office action of 10/05/2006

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Sayfie', is written over a horizontal line.

Robert J. Sayfie, Reg. No. 37,714